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# On viscous Burgers-like equations with linearly growing initial data 

Yoshikazu Giga And Kazuyuki Yamada

## 1. Introduction

We consider a viscous Burgers-like equation of the form

$$
\text { (E) } \begin{cases}\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G}(u)=0 & \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T) \\ \left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} & \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

where $\partial_{t}=\partial / \partial t$. It is well-known that if $u_{0}$ is bounded, (E) admits a unique global solution (cf. ${ }^{[8]}$ ). In this paper we consider the case that $u_{0}$ is not bounded at the space infinity. This paper specifies the growth of nonlinear term as $\mathbf{G}(r) \sim r^{2}$ for large $r$. A typical example is the viscous Burgers equation. Our goal is to solve the initial value problem when the initial data may grow linearly at the space infinity. We shall prove that the problem admits a unique local regular solution. The global existence is not expected in general even for $n=1$ since $u(x, t)=$ $-x /(1-t)$ is a solution of the viscous Burgers equation: $\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u \partial_{x} u=0$ with $u_{0}(x)=-x$, where $\partial_{x}=\partial / \partial x$. We also obtain an optimal estimate of the existence time. In fact, the existence time interval $(0, T)$ is estimated from below by a constant multiple over a Lipschtz bound for initial data, $T \geq C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$; here the constant $C_{2}$ is estimated by the structure of $\mathbf{G}$, and $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$ is defined by $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\partial_{i} u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $\partial_{i} u_{0}=\partial u_{0} / \partial x_{i}$.

To state our main result precisely we assume the following bounds for $\mathbf{G}=$ $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n}\right) \in C^{2+\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R} ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with some $\alpha \in(0,1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & :=\sup _{i} \sup _{r \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{\left|G_{i}^{\prime}(r)\right|}{\langle r\rangle}<\infty \\
C_{2} & :=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sup _{r \in \mathbf{R}}\left|G_{i}^{\prime \prime}(r)\right|\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty \\
C_{3} & :=\sup _{i} \sup _{r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{\left|G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{1}\right)-G_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|^{\alpha}}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we set $\langle x\rangle=\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $G_{i}^{\prime}$ is denotes the derivative of $G_{i}$. A typical example satisfying this assumption (C) is $G_{i}(r)=r^{2}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. We prepare a few function spaces allowing growth at space infinity. Let $L_{m}^{p}$ be of the form

$$
\left.L_{m}^{p}=L_{m}^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \mid\|f\|_{p, m}:=\left\|\frac{f(x)}{\langle x\rangle^{m}}\right\|_{p}<\infty\right)\right\}
$$

Of course, $L_{0}^{p}=L^{p}$ by definition so that $\|\cdot\|_{p, 0}=\|\cdot\|_{p}$. Let $X_{B}$ be of the form

$$
X_{B}=\left\{f \in C^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \mid\|f\|_{X_{B}}:=\|f\|_{\infty, 1}+\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}<\infty\right\}
$$

Definition. By a classical solution $u$ of (E) we mean that $u \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ is $C^{2}$ in space and $C^{1}$ in time, and it solves $(\mathrm{E})$.

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness of a solution of a viscous Burgers like equation). Assume that $\mathbf{G} \in C^{2+\alpha}\left(\mathbf{R} ; \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfies bounds (C). Assume that $u_{0} \in X_{B}$. Then there exist $T \geq T_{0}:=\frac{1}{C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}}$ and $u \in L_{l o c}^{\infty}\left([0, T) ; L_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right) \cap$ $C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ that satisfies $(E)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)$ with $\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}$. The existence time estimate $T \geq T_{0}$ is optimal in the sense that a classical solution may not exist in $[0, T)$ for $T>T_{0}$.

Optimality is easily observed by the next example.

Example. We set $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and we take

$$
\mathbf{G}(r)=\frac{\xi}{2} r^{2}
$$

so that (E) becomes

$$
(\mathrm{E})^{\prime} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+(\nabla u \bullet \xi) u=0 \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where "•" is the inner product. Then the function

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{(\xi \bullet \eta)(\eta \bullet x)}{1+(\xi \bullet \eta) t}
$$

solves $(\mathrm{E})^{\prime}$ with the initial condition $u_{0}(x)=\eta \bullet x$. If $\xi \bullet \eta<0$, the solution of (E) $)^{\prime}$ blows up at $t=1 /|\xi \bullet \eta|$. Since $C_{2}=|\xi|,\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}=|\eta|$, this example shows the estimate $T \leq T_{0}$ is optimal if $\xi$ parallels $\eta$.

Remark. (1) It is easy to see that this existence time estimate is invariant under a rotation of space variables $x$. If we do not care about rotation invariance of results, there is a sharper estimate for $T$ by defining $T_{0}$ by

$$
T_{0}=\left(\inf _{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|C_{2}^{(i)}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\partial_{i} u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $C_{2}^{(i)}=\sup _{r \in \mathbf{R}}\left|G_{i}^{\prime \prime}(r)\right|, 1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$.
(2) If we consider $\partial_{t} u-\varepsilon \Delta u+\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G}(u)=0$ for $\varepsilon>0$ instead of the evolution equation (E), we still obtain the existence time estimate $T \geq T_{0}$ independent of $\varepsilon>0$. This is easily follows from our theorem by changing the variable $t$ by $s / \varepsilon$ or $x$ by $y / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

For the viscous Burgers equation:
(B) $\quad \partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u \partial_{x} u=0$,
the problem (E) is reduced to the initial value problem for the heat equation via the Hopf-Cole transformation. Indeed, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(x, t) & =\int_{0}^{t} u(y, t) d y+f(t) \\
f^{\prime}(t) & =\partial_{x} u(0, t)-\frac{u^{2}(0, t)}{2}, \quad f(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that $v$ satisfies

$$
(\mathrm{B})^{\prime} \quad \partial_{t} v-\Delta v+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x} v\right)^{2}=0
$$

We set $w(x, t)=e^{\frac{1}{2} v(x, t)}$ and observe that $w$ satisfies the heat equation

$$
\partial_{t} w-\Delta w=0
$$

(The transformation form $v$ to $w$ is called the Hopf-Cole transformation.) Our problem is reduced to the unique solvability of the heat equation with initial data $w \sim e^{a x^{2}}$ for large $x$. The solvability and the existence time estimate is easily proved by the explicit solution formula. The uniqueness part is more subtle but it is widely studied for example in ${ }^{[10]}$. For the viscous Burgers equation our result easily follows from results for the heat equation ${ }^{[9]}$, ${ }^{[10]}$ without a Lipschitz bound for $u_{0}$. However, if $n>1$ or $\mathbf{G}$ is general, this argument evidently fails to apply.

A classical result of Tychonov ${ }^{[9]}$ states that the Cauchy problem for the heat equation has a unique classical solution in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)= \\
& \left\{f \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right) \mid \exists a, \quad \exists C>0 \text { such that }|f(x, t)| e^{-a|x|^{2}} \leq C\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a continuous initial data $u_{0}(x)$ satisfying growth condition

$$
\left|u_{0}(x)\right| \leq C e^{a|x|^{2}}
$$

for some positive constants $C, a$.
Moreover, D. G. Aronson ${ }^{[1]}$ generalized the result of Tychonov for a parabolic operator with variable coefficients

$$
L u=\partial_{t} u-\sum_{i, j} \partial_{i}\left\{A_{i j}(x, t) \partial_{j} u+A_{i}(x, t) u\right\}
$$

with suitable conditions for $A_{i j}$ and $A_{i}$ for $u_{0}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|u_{0}(x)\right| e^{-a|x|^{2}} d x<\infty
$$

for some positive constant $a$. He proved that there is a unique solution in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)= \\
& \left\{f \in L_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right) \mid \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)} e^{-a|x|^{2}} f^{2}(x, t) d x d t<\infty \text { for some } a>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $L u=0$ with $\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}$.
K. Ishige ${ }^{[7]}$ proved that solvability of Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}\left(|u|^{\beta-1} u\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) \\
|u|^{\beta-1} u(\cdot, 0)=\mu(\cdot)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for the initial data $\mu$ growing at space infinity. There are some more results for nonlinear equations (see e.g. ${ }^{[7]},{ }^{[3]}$ ) but these results do not include (E).

A recent paper ${ }^{[6]}$ of A. Gladkov, M. Guedda and R. Kersner studied the unique solvability of

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\lambda\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right|^{q} \text { in } \mathbf{R} \times(0, T]
$$

with $\lambda>0, q>1$, when initial data $v_{0}$ is not necessary bounded. In fact, they proved that if $u_{0}(x) \leq M_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}+x^{2}\right)^{q /[2(q-1)]-\gamma}$ with some positive constant $M_{0}, \alpha$, $\gamma$. Then there exists a unique local solution on $\mathbf{R} \times(0, T]$ provided that $T$ satisfies

$$
T<\frac{M_{0}^{-(q-1)}}{\lambda(q-1)}\left(\frac{q-1}{q}\right)^{q}
$$

If $\gamma>0$, then the solution can be extended globally in time. When $q=2$ the equation agrees with $(B)^{\prime}$. So their result qualitatively implies the local existence for the Burgers equation. However, in general their results do not overlap with ours. Like their result it is possible to prove the global existence when the growth order is less than linear. We shall discuss this topic in a forthcoming paper of the second author.

Uniqueness of solutions without imposing growth conditions was recently studied by G. Barles, S. Biton and O. Ley ${ }^{[2]}$ and K.-S Chou and Y.-C. Kwong ${ }^{[4]}$.

However, the class of quasilinear parabolic equations to which their theory applies excludes our equation (E).

Let us give the idea of the proof. If $u_{0}$ is bounded, ( E ) can be solved by the following iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k+1}(t)=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} \nabla u_{k}(s) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}(s)\right) d s \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But if $u_{0}$ is not bounded, it is difficult to solve (E) by the iteration (1). So we use another iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k+1}(t)=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} \nabla u_{k+1}(s) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}(s)\right) d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To use this iteration (2) it is necessary to study the solvability of the linear equation with growing coefficients in the transport term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} v-\Delta v+\nabla v \bullet \mathbf{p}-v q=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right), \mathbf{p} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L_{1}^{\infty}\right), q \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)$. Fortunately, it is not very difficult to solve the linear equation (3) for initial data $v_{0} \in B C$, where $B C$ is the set of all bounded continuous functions and $B C_{m}$ is defined by

$$
B C_{m}=\left\{f \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{f(x)}{\langle x\rangle^{m}} \in B C\right.\right\}
$$

Estimating the heat kernel in (2), we get the estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq C_{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty, 1}+C_{T} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}(s)\right)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{n+1}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{t} u_{n+1}-\Delta u_{n+1}+\nabla u_{n+1} \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0
$$

$\partial_{i} u_{n+1}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\partial_{i} u_{n+1}\right)+\Delta\left(\partial_{i} u_{n+1}\right)+\nabla\left(\partial_{i} u_{n+1}\right) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)+\nabla u_{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(\partial_{i} u_{n}\right)=0
$$

The maximum principle for (3) yields

$$
\|v\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{t}\|q(s)\|_{\infty}\|v(s)\|_{\infty} d s
$$

Applying the above maximum principle for $v$, we get

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty} d s
$$

By the Gronwall inequality $\left\|\nabla u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}}{1-C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty} t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k$.
By (4) and (5) we see that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0}-\varepsilon ; L_{1}^{\infty}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon \in(0, T)$ so that $u:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}$ is solution of $(\mathrm{E})$. It is easy to prove the uniqueness of solution of (E) by using the maximum principle for equation (3). The key underlying estimate is an apriori estimate:

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u(s)\|_{\infty}^{2} d s
$$

for $u$ of $(E)$ which yields, by the Gronwall inequality (Lemma 3.1), a bound for $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\infty}:$

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}}{1-C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty} t}
$$

It is natural to consider a linearly growing initial data for ( E ). We conclude this introduction by giving a formal argument to show that at most linearly growing initial data is allowed for existence of a solution. We postulate that $u(x, t)=x^{\alpha} f(t)$ is a solution of (E). By (E) $u$ must satisfy

$$
x^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(t)=\alpha(\alpha-1) x^{\alpha-2} f(t)+\alpha x^{\alpha-1} f(t) \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(x^{\alpha} f(t)\right)
$$

We observe that the growth of the left hand side is $x^{\alpha}$. By the assumption of $\mathbf{G}$ the growth of the right hand side is $x^{2 \alpha-1}$. Hence $\alpha$ must satisfy $\alpha \leq 2 \alpha-1$ so that $\alpha \leq 1$.

## 2. Estimates for the heat semigroup in weighted space

We recall several elementary properties of the heat kernel

$$
G_{t}(x)=G(x, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}^{n}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 t}}
$$

The next two lemmas are well-known but we give a proof for completeness. For a multi-index $a=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ by $\partial^{a}$ we mean $\partial^{a}=\partial^{a_{1}} \cdots \partial^{a_{n}}, \partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x_{i}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Derivatives of heat kernel). Derivatives of $G_{t}$ are of the form

$$
\partial^{a} G_{t}(x)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{a_{i}}\left(x_{i}, t\right)\right) G_{t}(x)
$$

with some polynomial of $x_{i}$ and $t^{-1}$ of the form

$$
p_{a_{i}}=p_{a_{i}}\left(x_{i}, t\right)=\sum_{m \leq n \leq a_{i}} C_{m, n} x_{i}^{m} t^{-n}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove in the case of $a=\left(a_{1}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$.

$$
\partial_{1}\left(p_{a_{1}}\left(x_{1}, t\right) G_{t}(x)\right)=\left(\partial_{1} p_{a_{1}}\left(x_{1}, t\right)-\frac{x_{1}}{2 t} p_{a_{1}}\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right) G_{t}(x)
$$

A standard induction argument yields Lemma 2.1 (In fact, $p_{a_{i}}\left(x_{i}, t\right)$ is a constant multiple of $(4 t)^{-a_{i}}(-1)^{n} H_{a_{i}}\left((4 t)^{-1 / 2} x_{i}\right)$, where $H_{a_{i}}$ is the Hermite polynomial defined by $\left.\left(e^{-s^{2}}\right)^{(j)}=(-1)^{j+1} H_{j}(s) e^{-s^{2}}\right)$.

Lemma 2.2 (Polynomial multiplication). For a multi-index $a$ the identity holds

$$
x^{a} G_{t}(x)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a_{i}} q_{j, i}(t) \partial_{i}^{j}\right) G_{t}(x)
$$

with some polynomial $q_{j, i}(t)$ of the form

$$
q_{j, i}(t)=\sum_{j \leq k \leq a_{i}} C_{k} t^{k}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove in the case of $a=\left(a_{1}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$.By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}^{a_{1}+1} G_{t}(x) & =x_{1} \sum_{j \leq a_{1}} q_{j, 1}(t) \partial_{1}^{j} G_{t}(x) \\
& =x_{1} \sum_{j \leq a_{1}} q_{j, 1}(t) p_{j}\left(x_{1}, t\right) G_{t}(x) \text { by lemma 2.1 } \\
& =\sum_{j \leq a_{1}} q_{j, i}(t)\left(-2 t\left(-\frac{x_{1}}{2 t} p_{j}\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\partial_{1} p_{j}\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right)+2 t \partial_{1} p_{j}\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right) G_{t}(x) \\
& =\sum_{j \leq a_{1}} q_{j, i}(t)\left(-2 t p_{j+1}\left(x_{1}, t\right)+2 t \partial_{1} p_{j}\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right) G_{t}(x) \\
& =\sum_{j \leq a_{1}} 2 t q_{j, i}(t)\left(-p_{j+1}\left(x_{1}, t\right)+\partial_{1} p_{j}\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right) G_{t}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

by the proof of lemma 2.1. This yields Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3 (Estimate of heat kernel in weighted space). There is a constant $C=C(n)$ such that

$$
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq C(1+\sqrt{t})\|f\|_{\infty, 1}
$$

holds for all $f \in L_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), t>0$.
Proof. An elementary calculation shows that

$$
\frac{\langle y\rangle}{\langle x\rangle}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}\right) h_{i, x}(y)
$$

with $h_{i, z}(y)$ defined by

$$
h_{i, z}(y)=\frac{\left(y_{i}+z_{i}\right)}{\langle z\rangle(\langle y\rangle+\langle z\rangle)}
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
\sup _{i} \sup _{z}\left\|h_{i, z}\right\|_{\infty}=1
$$

We now calculate $\langle x\rangle^{-1} e^{t \Delta} f$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\langle x\rangle} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} G_{t}(x-y) f(y) d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{\langle y\rangle}{\langle x\rangle} G_{t}(x-y) \frac{f(y)}{\langle y\rangle} d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i, x}(y)\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)\right) G_{t}(x-y) \frac{f(y)}{\langle y\rangle} d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} G_{t}(x-y) \frac{f(y)}{\langle y\rangle} d y+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} 2 t \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i, x}(y) \partial_{i} G_{t}(x-y) \frac{f(y)}{\langle y\rangle} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimating $L^{\infty}$-norm we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, 1} & \leq\|f\|_{\infty, 1}+2 \operatorname{tn} \sup _{i} \sup _{z}\left\|\partial i e^{t \Delta}\left(h_{i, z}<x>^{-1} f\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{\infty, 1}+2 \operatorname{tn} \frac{2}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\|f\|_{\infty, 1} \\
& =\|f\|_{\infty, 1}+\frac{2 n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{t}\|f\|_{\infty, 1} \\
& \leq C(1+\sqrt{t})\|f\|_{\infty, 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used $L^{\infty}-L^{\infty}$ estimates: $\left\|e^{t \Delta} k\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|k\|_{\infty},\left\|\partial i e^{t \Delta} k\right\|_{\infty} \leq \pi t^{-1 / 2}\|k\|_{\infty}$, with some $C>0$ independent of $k$.

A similar argument yields estimates of derivatives in weighted spaces.
Corollary 2.4. There is a constant $C=C(n, m, a)$ such that

$$
\left\|\partial^{a} e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, m} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{m} t^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{|a|}{2}}\|f\|_{\infty, m}
$$

holds for all $f \in L_{m}^{\infty}$ and $t>0$.

Lemma 2.5 (Hölder continuity of the heat kernel in weighted space). There is a constant $C=C(n, \alpha)$ such that

$$
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f-e^{s \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq C\left((t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha}+(t-s) t^{-1 / 2}\right)\|f\|_{\infty, 1}
$$

holds for all $0<s \leq t$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1$.
Proof. We set $g_{m}(x)=\langle x\rangle^{-m}$. In a similar way of proving Lemma 2.3 we have, by $\left\|e^{t \Delta} k-e^{s \Delta} k\right\|_{\infty}, s^{1 / 2}\left\|\partial i e^{t \Delta} k-\partial i e^{s \Delta} k\right\|_{\infty} \leq C(t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha}\|k\|_{\infty}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{t \Delta} f-e^{s \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, 1} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(e^{t \Delta}-e^{s \Delta}\right)\left(g_{1} f\right)\right\|_{\infty}+2 n \sup _{i} \sup _{z}\left\|\left(t \nabla e^{t \Delta}-s \nabla e^{s \Delta}\right)\left(h_{i, z} g_{1} f\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(e^{t \Delta}-e^{s \Delta}\right)\left(g_{1} f\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \quad+2 n \sup _{i} \sup _{z}\left(\left\|\left(t \partial i e^{t \Delta}-s \partial i e^{t \Delta}\right)\left(h_{i, z} g_{1} f\right)\right\|_{\infty}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\left(s \partial i e^{t \Delta^{2}}-s \partial i e^{s \Delta}\right)\left(h_{i, z} g_{1} f\right)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
\leq & \left(C_{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha}+2 n\left(C_{n}(t-s) t^{-1 / 2}+C_{\alpha} s(t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha-1 / 2}\right)\right)\|f\|_{\infty, 1} \\
\leq & \left(C_{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha}+2 n\left(C_{n}(t-s) t^{-1 / 2}+C_{\alpha}(t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha+1 / 2}\right)\right)\|f\|_{\infty, 1} \\
\leq & C\left((t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha}+(t-s) t^{-1 / 2}\right)\|f\|_{\infty, 1 .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way of proving Lemma 2.5, we obtain a more general version.
Corollary 2.6. There is a constant $C=C(n, m, a, \alpha)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial^{a} e^{t \Delta} f-\partial^{a} e^{s \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, m} \\
\leq & C\left((t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{m} s^{k / 2}+(t-s) t^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} t^{k / 2}\right) s^{-|a| / 2}\|f\|_{\infty, m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $0<s \leq t$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1$.

Remark 2.7. In this paper we use these estimates in finite time interval $(0, T)$ so we give the following version of the estimates in Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 2.6.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\partial^{a} e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{\infty, m} \leq C_{T} t^{-|a| / 2}\|f\|_{\infty, m} \quad(0<\forall t \leq T) \\
\left\|\partial^{a}\left(e^{t \Delta}-e^{s \Delta}\right) f\right\|_{\infty, m} \leq C_{T}\left((t-s)^{\alpha} s^{-\alpha}+(t-s) t^{-1 / 2}\right) s^{-|a| / 2}\|f\|_{\infty, m} \\
(0<\forall s \leq \forall t \leq T)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $C_{T}$ is a constant independent of $f$ and $t, s$ but may depend on $T$.

## 3. Gronwall type inequalities

In this section we recall several versions of Gronwall type inequalities.
Lemma 3.1. Assume that $f \in L^{\infty}(0, T), g \in L^{1}(0, T)$, satisfies $f, g \geq 0$ a.e. $t$. Assume that $h$ is a positive nondecreasing function on $(0, \infty)$. Assume that $c$ is a positive constant. Let $H$ be a primitive function of $1 / h$.

If $f$ satisfies

$$
f(t) \leq c+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) h(f(s)) d s \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T)
$$

then

$$
H(f(t))-H(c) \leq \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T)
$$

¿From now on we suppress the word "a.e.".
Proof. We set

$$
F(t):=c+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) h(f(s)) d s
$$

Then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} F(t)=g(t) h(f(t)) \leq g(t) h(F(t))
$$

Integrating this differential inequality, we get

$$
H(F(t))-H(F(0)) \leq \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s
$$

Since $h$ is a positive, the function $H$ is a monotone increasing function. Thus we conclude that

$$
H(f(t))-H(c) \leq \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s
$$

Remark 3.2. (1) If $H$ has the inverse, Lemma 3.1 implies

$$
f(t) \leq H^{-1}\left(H(c)+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)
$$

In this paper we apply Lemma 3.1 when $h(r)=r^{2}$ and $H(r)=r$. If $h(r)=r^{2}$, Lemma 3.1 implies that $f$ satisfies

$$
f(t) \leq \frac{c}{1-c \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s}
$$

when $c$ is positive. Of course, we may send $c$ to zero in this case. If $h(r)=r$, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$
f(t) \leq c e^{\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s}
$$

(2) In Lemma 3.1 we assume $f \geq 0, h \geq 0, c>0$. However, if $h$ satisfies $h(r)=r$, it is not necessary to assume that $f \geq 0$ and that $c$ is a positive constant. Moreover we may take $c$ as a function.

We shall state it for convenience. Assume that $k \in L^{\infty}(0, T)$, and $g \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and $g \geq 0$. Assume that $f \in L^{\infty}(0, T)$ satisfies

$$
f(t) \leq k(t)+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) f(s) d s, \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

Then $f$ satisfies

$$
f(t) \leq k(t)+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) k(s) e^{\int_{s}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau} d s, \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

This inequality is known as the famous Gronwall inequality and it is included in many standard text books.

We shall give an application of the Gronwall inequality
Lemma 3.3. Assume that $h \in L^{\infty}(0, T)$ and that $F:[0, T) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is locally bounded and $r \mapsto F(t, r)$ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function for all $t \in[0, T)$. Assume that $k \in L^{\infty}(0, T)(k \geq 0)$ satisfies

$$
k(t)=h(t)+\int_{0}^{t} k(s) F(s, k(s)) d s, \quad t \in(0, T) .
$$

Assume that $f, g \in L^{\infty}(0, T)$ satisfy $f, g \geq 0$ and that

$$
f(t) \leq h(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) F(s, g(s)) d s, \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

If $g$ satisfies

$$
g(t) \leq k(t)
$$

then $f$ satisfies

$$
f(t) \leq k(t)
$$

Proof. By assumption

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t)-k(t) & \leq h(t)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s) F(s, g(s)) d s-h(t)-\int_{0}^{t} k(s) F(s, k(s)) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}(f(s)-k(s)) F(s, k(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

By Remark 3.2 (2) we have

$$
f(t)-k(t) \leq 0 . \square
$$

## 4. Maximum principle

We prepare a maximum principle for equations with a growing coefficient in the transport term. Our results are by no means optimal but it is enough for our purpose.

Lemma 4.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \\
p_{i} & \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right) \cap L_{1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \\
q & \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right) \cap C\left([0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ is a classical solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{i} u+q=0 \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T) \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $u$ satisfies

$$
\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{t}\|q(s)\|_{\infty} d s
$$

Proof. We set

$$
v(t)=u(t)-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}-\int_{0}^{t}\|q(s)\|_{\infty} d s
$$

Then $v$ satisfy $v(x, 0) \leq 0$ and

$$
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{i} u+q+\|q(t)\|_{\infty}=0
$$

in the distribution sense. We set

$$
w(t)=v(t) e^{-t}
$$

Then $w$ satisfies $w(x, 0) \leq 0$ and

$$
\partial_{t} w-\Delta w+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{i} w+w+e^{-t}\left(q+\|q(t)\|_{\infty}\right)=0
$$

We set

$$
w^{\varepsilon}=w-\varepsilon \log \langle x\rangle
$$

Then $w^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies $w^{\varepsilon}(x, 0) \leq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} w^{\varepsilon}-\Delta w^{\varepsilon}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} w^{\varepsilon}+w^{\varepsilon} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon\left(\log \langle x\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}}^{2} \log \langle x\rangle+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} \log \langle x\rangle\right) \\
& \quad+e^{-t}\left(q+\|q(t)\|_{\infty}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]} w=\alpha>0 .
$$

Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{0}>0, w^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]} w^{\varepsilon}>\frac{\alpha}{2},
$$

for all $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. Since $w^{\varepsilon}$ is negative at space infinity, $w^{\varepsilon}$ has a maximum point $\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]} w^{\varepsilon}=w^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)>\frac{\alpha}{2} .
$$

We are able to take $\varepsilon$ small so that

$$
\left\|-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}}^{2} \log \langle x\rangle+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} \log \langle x\rangle\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right)}<\frac{\alpha}{4 \varepsilon},
$$

since the left hand side is finite by the assumption of $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$. Since $\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a maximum of $w^{\varepsilon}$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} w^{\varepsilon}-\Delta w^{\varepsilon}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} w^{\varepsilon}+w^{\varepsilon} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon\left(\log \langle x\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}}^{2} \log \langle x\rangle+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{x_{i}} \log \langle x\rangle\right) \\
& \quad+e^{-t}\left(q+\|q(t)\|_{\infty}\right)>0 \quad \text { in } B_{\rho}\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently small $\rho>0$, where $B_{\rho}\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a closed ball of radius $\rho$ centered at $\left(x_{\varepsilon}, t_{\varepsilon}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)$. This contradicts the equation for $w^{\varepsilon}$ so we conclude that $w^{\varepsilon} \leq 0$. Sending $\varepsilon$ to zero, we have $v(x, t) \leq 0$, i.e.

$$
u(x, t) \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{t}\|q(s)\|_{\infty} d s
$$

A symmetric argument yields

$$
u(x, t) \geq-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}-\int_{0}^{t}\|q(s)\|_{\infty} d s
$$

and the proof is now complete.

## 5. Linear problem in a weighted space

We prove that solvability of a linear equation

$$
(L)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \partial_{i} u+q u=0 \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

with growing coefficients at the space infinity.
Definition. By a mild solution of $(L)$ we mean that $u \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(t)=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} \nabla e^{(t-s) \Delta} \bullet \mathbf{p}(s) u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}(s)) u(s) d s \\
-\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} q(s) u(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}\right)$.
Lemma 5.1 (Existence and uniqueness for bounded initial data). Assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i} & \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T): X_{B}\right) \cap C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right) \\
\partial_{i} p_{i}, q & \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right) \\
u_{0} & \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B C$ is a set of bounded continuous functions. Let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $m \geq 0$ and assume that

$$
p_{i}, \quad \partial_{i} p_{i}, \quad q \in C^{\alpha}\left((0, T): L_{m}^{\infty}\right)
$$

Then $(L)$ has a unique classical solution $u \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$.
Proof. Step. 1 (Construction of a mild solution). We construct a mild solution $u \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ for integral equation.
(a) Approximation. Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ be a cut off function of the form satisfying

$$
\psi(x)= \begin{cases}1 & (|x| \leq 1) \\ 0 & (|x| \geq 2)\end{cases}
$$

and $|\psi(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we set $\psi_{k}(x)=\psi(x / k)$. We set $\mathbf{p}_{k}=\left(p_{1, k}, \cdots, p_{n, k}\right):=\psi_{k} \mathbf{p}$. Then $\left\{\mathbf{p}_{k}\right\} \subset C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T]\right)$ is a locally uniformly convergent sequence. Moreover

$$
\sup _{i, k, 0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|p_{i, k}(t)\right\|_{X_{B}}<\infty
$$

Let $u_{k} \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ be a classical solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u_{k}-\Delta u_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \partial_{i} u_{k}+q u_{k}=0 \\
\left.u_{k}\right|_{t=0}=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The unique existence of a classical solution is well-known ${ }^{[8]}$. By Remark 3.2.(2), $u_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty} e^{T\|q\|_{\infty}}, \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists\left\{u_{l}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{k}\right\}, \exists u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right), \quad \text { s.t. } \\
& u_{l} \longrightarrow u \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right) \quad * \text {-weak sense, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
g_{m} u_{l} \longrightarrow g_{m} u \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right) \quad * \text {-weak sense } \quad \forall m \geq 0
$$

where $g_{m}$ is defined by $g_{m}(x)=\langle x\rangle^{-m}$.
(b) Convergence. By definition of $\left\{p_{i, k}\right\}$, it is easy to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{k, 0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|\mathbf{p}_{k}\right\|_{X_{B}}<\infty \\
& p_{i, k} \longrightarrow p_{i} \\
& \partial_{i} p_{i, k} \longrightarrow \partial_{i} p_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

the convergence is locally uniform. We shall prove

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}\left(\operatorname{div}_{l}(s)\right) u_{l}(s) d s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}(s)) u(s) d s \\
\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} q(s) u_{l}(s) d s \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} q(s) u(s) d s \\
F_{k}(x, t):=g_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \nabla e^{(t-s) \Delta} \bullet \mathbf{p}_{l}(s) u_{l}(s) d s \rightarrow \\
g_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \nabla e^{(t-s) \Delta} \bullet \mathbf{p}(s) u(s) d s=: F(x, t)
\end{array}
$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$, *-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$. The first two convergences are easy to prove, so we only give a proof of the last convergence. We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(x, t) F_{l}(x, t) d x d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(x, t)\left(g_{1}(x) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla_{x} G(x-y, t-s)\right)\right. \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{s}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(x, t) g_{1}(x)\left(\nabla_{x} G(x-y, t-s)\right) \\
= & -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \mathbf{p}_{l}(y, s) u_{l}(y, s) d x d t d y d s \\
& \bullet\left(\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla_{y} G(x-y, t-s)\right) \varphi(x, t) g_{1}(x) d x d t\right) u_{l}(y, s) d y d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$. Since $\mathbf{p}_{l}$ converge to $\mathbf{p}$ locally uniform, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}_{l}(y, s) \bullet\left(\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla_{y} G(x-y, t-s)\right) \varphi(x, t) g_{1}(x) d x d t\right) \\
\rightarrow & \mathbf{p}(y, s) \bullet\left(\int_{s}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla_{y} G(x-y, t-s)\right) \varphi(x, t) g_{1}(x) d x d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

strongly in $\left.L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)\right)$. We thus conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(x, t) F_{l}(x, t) d x d t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(x, t) F(x, t) d x d t
$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This uniform bound for $\left\{u_{l}\right\}$ in (a) implies a bound for $\left\{F_{l}\right\}$. Since $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$ is dense in $\left.L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)\right)$, we now conclude that $F_{l} \rightarrow F$ $(l \rightarrow \infty) *$-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$.

Since $u_{l}$ solves the approximate equation, by our convergence results we observe that the limit of $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(t)=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} \nabla e^{(t-s) \Delta} \bullet \mathbf{p}(s) u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{p}(s)) u(s) d s \\
-\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta} q(s) u(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

Step. 2 (Regularity and continuity). We shall prove the Hölder regularity and continuity for $t>0$ and continuity at $t=0$.

By using Corollary 2.6 and the integral equation we see that $u$ satisfies $u \in$ $C^{\alpha}\left((0, T) ; L_{1}^{\infty}\right)$ with $\alpha<1 / 2$. Since the initial data $u_{0} \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, it is easy to see that $u_{0} \in B U C_{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, here

$$
B U C_{m}=\left\{f \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{f(x)}{\langle x\rangle^{m}} \in B U C\right.\right\}
$$

here $B U C$ is the space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions. Since $u$ solves the integral equation, and since $e^{t \Delta} u_{0} \in C\left([0, T) ; B U C_{1}\right)$, we conclude that $u \in C\left([0, T) ; B U C_{1}\right)$. Thus $u \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$.

Here we have invoked the Hölder regularity assumptions of $p_{i}, \partial_{i} p_{i}, q$. For further regularity see for instance ${ }^{[5]}$. If $u \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$ is a classical solution of $(L)$, then by the maximum principle (Lemma 4.1) we conclude the uniqueness of a solution.

Remark 5.2. It seems to be difficult to prove the uniqueness directly by estimating integral equation.

Corollary 5.3 (Existence and uniqueness for growing initial data). Assume that $\mathbf{p}$ and $q$ fulfill the assumptions of Lemma 5.1. Assume that

$$
u_{0} \in B C_{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right),
$$

where $B C_{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ be of the form

$$
B C_{m}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{f(x)}{\langle x\rangle^{m}} \in B C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right.\right\} .
$$

Then $(L)$ has a unique classical solution $u \in L_{m}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right) \cap C\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times[0, T)\right)$.
Proof. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}_{i} & =p_{i}-2 m g_{-2} x_{i}, \\
\tilde{q} & =q+m \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} g_{-2} x_{i}-m g_{-4}\left((m-2)|x|^{2}+n g_{2}\right), \\
\tilde{u_{0}} & =g_{m} u_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma 5.1 and observe that there is a unique classical solution $\tilde{u}$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} \tilde{u}-\Delta \tilde{u}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i} \partial_{i} \tilde{u}+\tilde{q} \tilde{u}=0 \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=\tilde{u_{0}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We set $u=g_{-m} \tilde{u}$, then $u$ is a classical solution of $(L)$. The uniqueness follows from that of $\tilde{u}$.

## 6. Proof of Theorem

For $p \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; X_{B}\right)$ let $u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; X_{B}\right)$ be the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+\nabla u \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}(p)=0, \\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0} \in X_{B} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The unique existence of $u$ is guaranteed in Corollary 5.2. We denote the mapping $p \mapsto u$ in $L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; X_{B}\right)$ by $S$. We define a sequence of functions $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; X_{B}\right)$ by

$$
u_{1}=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}, u_{k+1}=S\left(u_{k}\right) \text { for } k \geq 1 .
$$

Then, by definition, $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ satisfies

$$
u_{k+1}(t)=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}\left(\nabla u_{k+1}(s) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}(s)\right)\right) d s
$$

By the estimate for the heat semigroup in weighted space (Remark 2.7) we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty, 1} \\
\leq & C_{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty, 1}+C_{T} C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}\left\langle\left\|u_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty, 1}\right\rangle d s \\
\leq & C_{T}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty, 1}+C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty} d s\right)  \tag{6}\\
& +C_{T} C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d s
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\partial_{j} u_{k}\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\partial_{j} u_{k+1}\right)-\Delta\left(\partial_{j} u_{k+1}\right)+\partial_{i}\left(\partial_{j} u_{k+1}\right) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)+\partial_{j} u_{k} \nabla u_{k+1} \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=0
$$

the maximum principle (Lemma 4.1) for $\partial_{j} u_{k}$ implies that

$$
\left\|\partial_{j} u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\partial_{j} u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{j} u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1} \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty} d s
$$

Multiplying both sides with $\xi_{j} \geq 0$ and taking the summation over $j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\partial_{j} u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \xi_{j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\partial_{j} u_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \xi_{j}  \tag{7}\\
+\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n=}\left\|\partial_{j} u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty} \xi_{j}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1} \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty} d s
\end{gather*}
$$

We take the supremum of $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right),|\xi|=1$, where $|\xi|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ to get

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}+C_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty} d s
$$

by the Schwarz inequality. We now apply Lemma 3.3 with $F(t, r)=C_{2} r, h(t)=$ $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}, f(t)=\left\|\nabla u_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{\infty}, g(t)=\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty}$. By this choice of $F$ we observe that

$$
k(t)=\frac{\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}}{1-C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty} t} .
$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 with (7) inductivity, we conclude that $\left\|\nabla u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq k(t)$, $t \in(0, T)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, provided that $T<1 / C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$. By (6) we see that

$$
\left\|u_{k+1}\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq h(t)+C_{1} C_{T} \int_{0}^{t} k(s)\left\|u_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d s
$$

with

$$
h(t)=C_{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty, 1}+C_{T} C_{1} \int_{0}^{t} k(s) d s
$$

We again apply Lemma 3.3 with $F(t, r)=k(t) r, f(t)=\left\|u_{k+1}\right\|_{\infty, 1}, g(t)=\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{\infty, 1}$ and conclude that

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq h(t)+\int_{0}^{t} k(s) h(s) e^{\int_{0}^{s} k(\tau) d \tau} d s
$$

Thus we conclude that $\left\|u_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq k(t), t \in(0, T)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ provided that $T<1 / C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$. We observe that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; X_{B}\right)$ if $T<1 / C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$.

We shall estimate the deference:

$$
w_{k}=u_{k+1}-u_{k}
$$

By definition $w_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{t} w_{k}-\Delta w_{k}+\nabla w_{k} \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)+\nabla u_{k} \bullet\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k-1}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

We change the dependent variable by

$$
\tilde{w}_{k}=\frac{w_{k}}{\langle x\rangle}
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} \tilde{w}_{k}-\Delta \tilde{w}_{k}+\left(\frac{x}{\langle x\rangle}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right) \bullet \nabla \tilde{w}_{k} \\
+\left(\frac{n\langle x\rangle^{2}-|x|^{2}}{\langle x\rangle^{4}}+\frac{x}{\langle x\rangle} \bullet \frac{\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)}{\langle x\rangle}\right) \tilde{w}_{k} \\
+\left(\frac{1}{\langle x\rangle} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(\langle x\rangle \tilde{u}_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{\langle x\rangle} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(\langle x\rangle \tilde{u}_{k-1}\right)\right) \bullet \nabla u_{k}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the maximum principle (Lemma 4.1) we obtain

$$
\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k-1}(s)\right\|_{\infty} d s+M_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty} d s
$$

where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\sup _{k, 0 \leq \tau \leq T}\left\|\nabla u_{k}(\tau)\right\|_{\infty}, \\
& M_{2}=n+1+n C_{1} \sqrt{1+\left(\sup _{k, 0 \leq \tau \leq T}\left\|u_{k}(\tau)\right\|_{\infty, 1}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left\|w_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty, 1} \leq M_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{k-1}(s)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d s+M_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{k}(s)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d s
$$

By the Gronwall inequality (Remark 3.2(2))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|w_{k}(t)\right\|_{\infty, 1} & \leq M_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w_{k-1}(s)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d s \\
& +M_{1} M_{2} e^{M_{2} T} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left\|w_{k-1}(\tau)\right\|_{\infty, 1} d \tau d s \\
& \leq M_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\left\|w_{k-1}\right\|\right|_{\infty, 1, s} d s \\
& +M_{1} M_{2} e^{M_{2} T} T \int_{0}^{t} \mid\left\|w_{k-1}\right\| \|_{\infty, 1, s} d s \\
& =\left(M_{1}+M_{1} M_{2} e^{M_{2} T} T\right) \int_{0}^{t} \mid\left\|w_{k-1}\right\| \|_{\infty, 1, s} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mid\left\|w_{k-1}\right\| \|_{\infty, 1, t}$ is defined by

$$
\left|\left\|w_{k}\right\|\right|_{\infty, 1, t}=\sup _{0 \leq \tau \leq t}\left\|w_{k}(\tau)\right\|_{\infty, 1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|\left\|w_{k}\right\|\right|_{\infty, 1, t} \leq\left(M_{1}+M_{1} M_{2} e^{M_{2} T} T\right) \int_{0}^{t} \mid\left\|w_{k-1}\right\| \|_{\infty, 1, s} d s
$$

We thus conclude that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; L_{1}^{\infty}\right)$. Let $u$ be its limit.

Since $\left\{\nabla u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$, there exists $v \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$ and a subsequence $\left\{\nabla u_{l}\right\} \subset\left\{\nabla u_{k}\right\}$, such that $v$ is the limit of $\left\{\nabla u_{l}\right\}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times\right.$ $(0, T))$ in $*$-weak sense. Moreover $v=\nabla u$ in distribution sense.

Since $u_{l}$ converges to $u$ locally uniformly and the $\nabla u_{l}$ converges to $\nabla u$ in $*$-weak sense in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}\left(\nabla u_{l}(s) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}\left(u_{l-1}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& \longrightarrow g_{1} \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}\left(\nabla u(s) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}(u(s))\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$, *-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, T)\right)$, where $g_{1}(x)=1 /\langle x\rangle$. The proof of this convergence is similar to that of Lemma 5.1.

We thus conclude that

$$
u(t)=e^{t \Delta} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s) \Delta}\left(\nabla u(s) \bullet \mathbf{G}^{\prime}(u(s))\right) d s
$$

In other words $u$ is a mild solution of (E). By Corollary 5.3 we observe that $u$ is a classical solution and $u \in C\left([0, T) ; L_{1}^{\infty}\right)$. By the maximum principle (Lemma 4.1) it is easy to prove the uniqueness of a classical solution of (E). ( By the way by construction we have $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq k(t)$. However, this can be proved directly by estimating the integral equation and applying Remark 3.2(1).)
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